

Reefton TC 10 March 2019 - R 8 - Chair, Mr S Ching

Rules:

[869 \(3\) \(b\)](#)

Name(s):

D Flint-Graduation Horsewoman

Charge:

Facts:

admitted

Following the running of Race 8, the Greg Topp Betta Electrical Womens Drivers Mobile Pace, an information was filed by Stipendiary Steward Mr S Wallis against Open Horsewoman Mrs D Flint, alleging a breach of Rule 869(3) (b) in that she drove carelessly on the home turn when shifting ground outwards causing interference.

Mrs Flint had endorsed on the Information that this breach of the Rules was admitted which she confirmed at the hearing. Mrs Flint also confirmed at the hearing that she understood the rule she was being charged with.

Rule 869(3)(b) reads as follows:

“(3) No horseman in any race shall drive:-

(b) carelessly:-

Mr Wallis gave evidence and used video coverage to show that approaching the 500m Mrs Flint, driving SCONIC REIGN, was racing 3 back on the pylons with HE'S FAST AND FURIOUS, driven by Miss K Gill, THE KAIK, driven by Miss K Butt and FORTUNE TILLER, driven by Miss A Stewart, racing on Mrs Flint's outside. Just forward of these runners and racing 2 off the pylons was MAGICAL MISS, driven by Miss L Lester. Mr Wallis showed Mrs Flint improve outwards when not entitled to, putting both Miss Gill and Miss Butt into restricted room. He stated that with Miss Stewart on the outside of both these horses there was no room for either driver to relieve the pressure. As a result, he said, Miss Butt's sulky wheel has ridden up over the sulky wheel of Miss Gill with both horses receiving interference and having to be steadied. Mr Wallis said that MAGICAL MISS, who was immediately ahead of these runners was giving ground at this point and contributed to the incident after the initial movement by Mrs Flint.

Mrs Flint stated that she initially thought that she had room to shift outwards but conceded that there obviously was no room to improve. Mrs Flint added that MAGICAL MISS giving ground added to the incident.

Decision:

As Mrs Flint had admitted this breach of the Rules it was found to be proved in accordance with Rule 1111(1)(d).